Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 2475-12 Filed 11/20/25 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT I

```
Page 1
 1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
     IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ) MDL Number:
 3
     ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS ) 4:22-MD-C047-YGR
     LIABILITY LITIGATION
 4
 5
           SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 6
                   SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE
 7
     COORDINATION PROCEEDING
                                         )
     SPECIAL TITLE [RULE 3.400]
 8
     SOCIAL MEDIA CASES
                                         ) Lead Case No. for
 9
                                         ) Filing Purposes
                                         ) 22STCV21355
10
     This Document Relates to:
11
     STATE OF TENNESSEE,
12
     ex rel. JONATHAN SKRMETTI,
     ATTORNEY GENERAL and REPORTER,
13
     v.
     META PLATFORMS, INC., and
14
     INSTAGRAM, LLC,
     Case No. 23-1364-IV
15
16
          Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order
17
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of , PhD
18
                     Palo Alto, California
19
20
                       November 19, 2024
21
22
     Job No. 6933449
23
     Pages 1 - 418
24
     Stenographically reported by:
     JENNY L. GRIFFIN, RMR, CSR, CRR, CCRR, CRC
25
     CSR No. 3969
```

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

	Page 365
1	you?
2	A. I do, yes.
3	Q. Okay. And backing up just a bit, I think
4	we established that had asked you a question
5	about whether the BEEF survey had a question on harm
6	felt from bullying.
7	Do you remember that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And you respond in this chat asking
10	if she means the intensity question; right?
11	A. Yes. We didn't have a question that was
12	specifically said "harm," but I offered up the
13	intensity question as similar.
14	Q. And by that, you meant was there a question
15	on the BEEF survey about how bad did this make you
16	feel, right, to a user?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And do you see the sentence that
19	starts:
20	"BEEF asked a question about
21	emotional impact, but I was told I need
22	to delete that data. We can't analyze
23	it."
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And you communicated that to ?

	Page 366
1	A. I did.
2	Q. Okay. And 's response is:
3	"Perhaps I can give it a try?"
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And what is your response there?
6	A. I said:
7	"No. For policy/legal reasons, I
8	was told we need to delete the data and
9	not analyze."
LO	Q. And can you read the next line as well,
L1	please?
L2	A. Yes. I then say:
L3	"We're not allowed to ask about
L 4	emotions in surveys anymore."
L 5	Q. Okay. Who told you you had to delete data
L6	about emotional impact?
L 7	MR. HALPERIN: I'll just caution the
L 8	witness to the extent that information came from a
L 9	lawyer, not to disclose any privileged
2 0	communications you may have had.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't remember anyway.
22	BY MS. BAIG:
23	Q. You don't remember who told you?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Do you remember whether you deleted the

	Page 367
1	information?
2	A. I should have. I don't remember what I did
3	with the dataset.
4	Q. Okay. So do you know so it says here
5	you were told you needed to delete the data and not
6	analyze; correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And you don't recall whether you
9	did, in fact, delete it?
10	MR. HALPERIN: Asked and answered.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't remember, but I
12	assume I did if I if I say that I was told to do
13	it.
14	BY MS. BAIG:
15	Q. Okay. And do you know did you keep a
16	copy of it?
17	A. No. I would have had the in our survey
18	system, because it's an online we're on a
19	platform survey. I would have downloaded the data
20	from, you know, the system onto, you know, like a
21	spreadsheet and then analyzed the data there. And
22	so I would have deleted it on kind of my copy.
23	Q. Okay. And so it would have remained on the
24	system; is that right?
25	A. I know there's, like, a retention so